

Wall Bounded Turbulent Shear Flow: Analytic Result For An Universal Amplitude

Amit Kr. Chattopadhyay, Jayanta K. Bhattacharjee
Dept. Of Theoretical Physics,
Indian Association For The Cultivation Of Science,
Jadavpur, Calcutta 700 032, India

February 1, 2008

Abstract

In the turbulent boundary layer above a flat plate, the velocity profile is known to have the form $v = v_0[\frac{1}{\kappa} \ln z + \text{constant}]$. The distance from the wall in dimensionless units is z and v_0 is an uniquely defined velocity scale. The number κ is universal and measurements over several decades have shown that it is nearly 0.42. We use a randomly stirred model of turbulence to derive the above law and find $\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{108}{125\pi}} \simeq 0.52$.

PACS number(s): 47.27.-i, 47.27.Nz, 64.60.Ht

The turbulent flow over a stationary plate has been investigated in great detail for well over a century. One of the important quantities studied is the velocity profile and in particular a good deal of attention has been paid to the velocity in the boundary layer. The existence of the boundary layer was first postulated by Prandtl [1,2]. Due to the presence of viscosity, the flow speed has to be zero on the surface of the plate. Within a short distance, the velocity has to rise to its bulk value. This small distance in which the velocity experiences a rapid change is called the boundary layer. Prandtl observed that in the boundary layer the velocity v scales with the distance z from the boundary. Adjoining the boundary is an extremely thin laminar boundary layer, after which comes a buffer zone and then the turbulent boundary layer. In this regime one has [2,3]

$$\frac{v}{v_0} = \frac{1}{\kappa} [\ln(z/z_0)], \quad (1)$$

a result known as the law of the wall, where v_0 is a reference velocity to be defined below and κ is an universal constant which so far has only been experimentally measured [4].

With the coming of Kolmogorov's theory [5] of homogeneous isotropic turbulence, where simple dimensional argument yielded remarkable results, dimensional arguments were similarly used in this problem to obtain eqn.(1). A more careful analysis [6,7] of the Navier Stokes equation also supported the above result, which is one of the cornerstones in the theory of the turbulent boundary layer. Over the last two decades, a lot of effort has been spent in providing a more microscopic justification for Kolmogorov's scaling in homogeneous isotropic turbulence, thereby enhancing our understanding of the energy cascade involved. Analysis of homogeneous isotropic turbulence has thus become a problem of non-equilibrium statistical physics [8-14]. In this work, we propose to use techniques from statistical physics to study the law of the wall and in the process provide calculations for the universal constant κ .

We begin by explaining the geometry and the traditional formulation. The flat plate (infinitely large) sits at $z = 0$ (in the x-y plane) and the flow (in the steady laminar situation) occurs in the x-direction, due to some maintained pressure gradient in that direction. We can view this as a two dimensional problem – the dynamics occurs only in the x-z plane. Continuity (for an incompressible flow) implies $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0$. Translational invariance in the x-direction makes quantities x-independent and hence $\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0$. The resulting constant value of w is found to be zero since $w = 0$ at $z = 0$. In the laminar regime (low Reynold's number) the velocity u satisfies $\nabla^2 u = 0$ and since the only dependence of u is on z , we have $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} = 0$ or a linear profile for u , i.e. $u = u_0 \frac{z}{a}$, where u_0 and a are constants. This is the usual Couette flow.

We now pass to high Reynold's number where the non-linear terms in the Navier Stokes' equation need to be retained. We assume that the flow is turbulent, so that it

pays to write the velocity field as $\vec{v} = \vec{V} + \vec{u}$, where $\langle \vec{v} \rangle = \vec{V}$. This has the implication that $\vec{u}$ (components u_x, u_y, u_z ; which from now onwards we will designate as u_1, u_2, u_3 respectively) is a purely fluctuating component and $\vec{V}$ (components V_x and V_z , henceforth to be called as V_1, V_3 respectively) is the mean flow. No mean quantity will depend on x due to translational invariance and hence $V_z = 0$ i.e. $V_3 = 0$, by the same argument as given above. Hence finding the flow profile is the same as finding $V_1(x_3)$ (i.e. $V_x(z)$).

Writing the Navier Stokes' equation as

$$\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v} = -\frac{\vec{\nabla} P}{\rho} + \nu \nabla^2 \vec{v}, \quad (2)$$

substituting $\vec{v} = \vec{V} + \vec{u}$ and taking an average yields (for very high Reynold's number) after standard manipulations [7]

$$\nu \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial z} = \langle u_1 u_3 \rangle + \text{constant} \quad (3)$$

At this point, one invokes dimensional arguments to argue $V_1 = \frac{V_0}{\kappa} \ln(z/z_0)$. Our goal is to calculate $\langle u_1 u_3 \rangle$ directly.

We proceed by writing an equation of motion for the fluctuating velocity field $\vec{u}$. This is the usual Navier Stokes' equation supplemented by a random forcing term which is generated by the interaction of the mean flow and the turbulent flow and the boundary conditions. We write this as

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u_i = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} + \nu \nabla^2 u_i + f_i \quad (4)$$

with $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0$ (this determines P in terms of u_i and makes $\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i}$ a non-linear term), where f_i is the random force, whose correlation is to be specified. For the Kolmogorov theory of homogeneous isotropic turbulence, this forcing gives rise to spatially correlated noise [9-14] and in a D-dimensional space, the Fourier components $f_i(k, \omega)$ of $f_i(x, t)$ satisfy $\langle f_i(k_1, \omega_1) f_j(k_2, \omega_2) \rangle = F(k_1) \delta(k_1 + k_2) \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2) P_{ij}(k_1)$ where $F(k) \sim k^{-D}$ and $P_{ij}(k)$ is the projection operator. In co-ordinate space $\langle f_i(x_1, t_1) f_j(x_2, t_2) \rangle \propto P_{ij} \tilde{F}(x_{12}) \delta(t_1 - t_2)$ where $\tilde{F}(x_{12})$ is a constant for $x_{12} \rightarrow 0$. The combination of the non-linear terms and the forcing changes the basic relaxation rate in the problem. In momentum space, in the absence of the non-linear terms, the basic frequency is clearly νk^2 . The non-linear terms constitute a relevant perturbation and makes ν diverge for small k . For the forcing of k^{-D} , one gets the Kolmogorov time scale where $\nu = \overline{\nu_0} k^{-4/3}$ and the basic frequency is $\overline{\nu_0} k^{2/3}$ when the sweeping effects are removed. For this problem of calculating $\langle u_1 u_3 \rangle$, sweeping effects will set the time scale (interaction of large and small eddies) and hence the basic frequency scale is $\nu_0 k$ [13,14]. It should be noted that for homogeneous isotropic

turbulence the cross correlation $\langle u_1(\vec{r}, t)u_3(\vec{r}, t) \rangle$ will be zero – the finite value of the correlation is because of the breaking of isotropy by the rigid boundary at $z = 0$.

To deal with the situation, restricting ourselves to $z \geq 0$, we need to use field variables for which we introduce Fourier transforms in the x-y plane and keep the co-ordinate description along the z-axis. To take into account the solenoidal condition, it is convenient to work in the potential $\vec{A}$, so that $\vec{u} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$. We expand $\vec{A}$ as

$$\vec{A}_i(\vec{r}, t) = \sum_{\vec{k}, \omega} \vec{A}_i(\vec{k}, z, \omega) e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \quad (5)$$

and thereafter write down the equation of motion for $\vec{A}$ from eqn.(4). We will denote the random force in the equation of motion for $\vec{A}$ by $\vec{F}$. Specifying the correlations of $\vec{F}$ will now be the main task. One part of the correlations of F_i and F_j will be the homogeneous isotropic part, giving $\langle F_i(k, z_1)F_j(-k, z_2) \rangle = \delta_{ij} \frac{1}{k^4} F(z_{12})$ where $F(z_{12})$ is a constant for the spatially correlated noise. This reproduces the Kolmogorov spectrum. The boundary at $z = 0$, will cause anisotropy in the correlation of F_i in the x-y plane (this anisotropy causes the correlation $\langle u_1 u_3 \rangle$ to be non-zero in the presence of the wall). Considering all these factors, the final correlations of $\vec{F}$ assume the form

$$\langle F_i(k, z_1)F_j(k, z_2) \rangle = A \frac{\epsilon}{k^4} [\delta_{ij} + \delta_{i1}\delta_{j3} + \delta_{i2}\delta_{j3}] \quad (6)$$

where ϵ is the rate of production of turbulent energy. The first term on the right hand side produces the isotropic Kolmogorov response for the fluctuating field. The second and third terms reproduce the effect of the boundary.

We now start with a linear equation of motion for $A_i(k, z)$ with the effect of the non-linear terms included in an effective viscosity ν_{eff} . This reads

$$\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} + \nu_{eff}(k^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2})A_i = F_i \quad (7)$$

with the correlations of F_i specified in eqn.(6). We see from eqn.(3) that our primary goal is to calculate the stress tensor $\tau_{13} = \langle u_1 u_3 \rangle$. This can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_1(\vec{r}, t)u_3(\vec{r}, t) \rangle &= \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \langle u_1(k, z, \omega)u_3(-k, z, -\omega) \rangle \\ &= - \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} k_2^2 \langle A_3(k, z, \omega)A_1(-k, z, -\omega) \rangle \\ &= -A\epsilon \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{k_2^2}{k^4} \int \frac{G_+(z, z_1)G_-(z, z_2)}{\nu_{eff}^2} dz_1 dz_2 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $G_{\pm}(z, z')$ are the Green's functions associated with the operator $L = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - k^2 \mp i\Omega$, where $\Omega = \omega/\nu$. In arriving at the last line of eqn.(8), we have used the correlation of

eqn.(6) and noted the fact that the angular average of k_1 is zero. Defining $\kappa_{\pm}^2 = k^2 \pm i\Omega$ and noting that we are interested in a single rigid boundary, $G_{\pm}$ can be written down as [17]

$$G_{\pm}(z, z') = \frac{\sinh(\kappa_{\pm} z_{<}) e^{-\kappa_{\pm} z_{>}}}{\kappa_{\pm}} \quad (9)$$

Carrying out the integration in eqn.(8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_1 u_3 \rangle &= -A\epsilon \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{k_2^2}{k^4} \frac{1}{\kappa_+^2 \kappa_-^2} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\nu_{eff}^2} (1 - e^{-\kappa_+ z})(1 - e^{-\kappa_- z}) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

For large z , the stress tensor tends to a constant value $-V_0^2$ which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_0^2 &= A\epsilon \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{k^2} \frac{1}{\nu_{eff}^2} \frac{1}{\kappa_+^2 \kappa_-^2} \\ &= \frac{A\epsilon}{2} \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\nu_{eff}} \frac{1}{k^4} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

[The integrals have to be cut-off at a low momentum k_0 .]

In the sweeping time dominated situation $\nu_{eff}(k) = \nu_0 \epsilon^{1/3} k^{-1} k_0^{-1/3}$ and the above integral needs to be cut-off at a lower limit k_0 leading to $V_0^2 = \frac{A}{8\pi} \frac{\epsilon^{2/3}}{k_0^{2/3}}$. It is this V_0 which sets the scale for the velocity in the boundary layer. We now need to specify the region of z -space in which we are interested. As already discussed, the law of the wall in eqn.(1) holds somewhat away from the boundary. The relevant length scale is $k_D^{-1} = \frac{\nu(k_0)}{V_0} = \sqrt{8\pi} \nu_0^{3/2} \frac{k_0^{-1}}{A^{1/2}}$. Our interest is in the value of z for which $k_D z > 1$ and from eqn.(10), we find the correction to V_0^2 is

$$\langle u_1 u_3 \rangle = -V_0^2 \left[1 - \frac{5}{6} \frac{k_D}{k_0} \frac{1}{z_+} \right] \quad (12)$$

where $z_+ = k_D z$ (Note that for small k_0 we can set $e^{-k_0 z} \sim 1$). The quantity A/ν^3 is a universal number [10-12] known from the study of isotropic homogeneous turbulence. Using that universal value we find

$$\langle u_1 u_3 \rangle = -V_0^2 \left[1 - \frac{5}{6} \sqrt{\frac{5\pi}{3}} \frac{1}{z_+} \right] \quad (13)$$

We now return to eqn.(3) and observe that the constant can be calculated by going far away from the wall, where the viscous stress is negligible. This leads to

$$\nu \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial z} = \langle u_1 u_3 \rangle + V_0^2 \quad (14)$$

(A more careful calculation would yield a correction term in the above equation which vanishes for large Reynold's number). Using eqn.(13) for the fluctuating stress tensor and integrating, we get

$$\frac{V_x}{V_0} = \frac{5}{6} \sqrt{\frac{5\pi}{3}} \ln z_+ + \text{constant} \quad (15)$$

leading to

$$\kappa = \frac{6}{5} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5\pi}} \simeq 0.52 \quad (16)$$

The experimental values of κ cluster around 0.42.

In summary, we have used a randomly forced Navier Stokes' equation to calculate the fluctuating stress tensor and have thereby obtained the logarithmic law of the wall. The strong point of the procedure is the ability to calculate the universal amplitude appearing in the velocity profile. Our calculated number is in reasonably good accord with the experimentally measured value.

1 Acknowledgement

One of the authors (AKC) sincerely acknowledges partial financial support from C. S. I. R., India.

References

- [1] L. Prandtl, Zs. angew. Math. Mech. **5**, 136 (1925).
- [2] L. Prandtl, Ergetn. Aerodyn. Versuchsanst **4**, 18 (1932).
- [3] T. von Karman, Proc. 3rd Int. Congress on App. Mech. Stockholm pt. 1, 85 (1930).
- [4] P. Bradshaw, Turbulence Topics in App. Physics, Vol.12, Springer Verlag NY (1976).
- [5] A. N. Kolmogorov, CR (Dokl.) Acad. Sci. URSS **30**, 301 (1941).
- [6] J. O. Hinze, 'Turbulence' Mcgraw-Hill NY (1959).
- [7] H. Tennekes and J. L. Lumley, 'A First Course In Turbulnce', Cambridge, MA=MIT press (1972).
- [8] D. Forster, D. Nelson and M. Stephen, Phys. Rev. A **16**, 732 (1976).
- [9] C. De Dominicis and P. C. Martin, Phys. Rev. A **19**, 419 (1979).
- [10] V. Yakhot and S. A. Orszag, Phys. Rev. Letts., J. Sci. Comput. **1**, 1 (1986).

- [11] D. Ronis, Phys. Rev. A **36**, 3322 (1987).
- [12] J. K. Bhattacharjee, J. Phys. A **27**, L347 (1994), Phys. Fluids A **3**, 879 (1991).
- [13] E. Medina, T. Hwa, M. Kardar and Y. C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. A **39**, 3053 (1989).
- [14] A. Kr. Chattopadhyay and J. K. Bhattacharjee, Europhys. Letts. **42**, 119 (1998).
- [15] C. Y. Mou and P. Weichman, Phys. Rev. Letts. **70**, 1101 (1993).
- [16] R. H. Kraichnan, J. Fluid Mech. **5**, 497 (1959), J. Fluid. Mech. **62**, 305 (1974).
- [17] J. K. Bhattacharjee, Phys. Rev. Letts. **77**, 1524 (1996).